

February 2, 2015

CONFIDENTIAL

Ms. Judith Delaney Executive Assistant Missouri State Employees' Retirement System 907 Wildwood Drive Jefferson City, MO 65109

Re: House Bill No. 484 (HB 484)

Dear Judy:

Enclosed are the results of a supplemental actuarial valuation related to a proposed benefit change for the Missouri State Employees' Retirement System June 30, 2014 valuation.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

David Tfausch

Blad Ce a for

Brad Lee Armstrong, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA

David T. Kausch, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA

BLA/DTK:sc Enclosures

REQUESTED BY: Mr. Gary W. Findlay, Executive Director

SUBMITTED BY: Brad Lee Armstrong, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA and David T. Kausch, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA

Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company

DATE: February 2, 2015

This report presents results of a supplemental actuarial valuation to measure the effect of eliminating defined benefit and providing defined contribution retirement benefits for members of the General Assembly (Legislators) and statewide Elected Officials who first take office on or after January 1, 2016.

This report is intended to describe the financial effect of the proposed changes. No statement in this report is intended to be interpreted as a recommendation in favor of the changes, or in opposition to them. The date of the valuation was June 30, 2014. Brad Lee Armstrong and David T. Kausch are Members of the American Academy of Actuaries (MAAA) and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained herein.

If the scheduled contributions are made (subject to normal year-to-year experience fluctuations), then the System will be able to pay all benefits promised, when due. Our understanding is that the State is currently paying the appropriate total contribution rate.

Actuarial assumptions and methods were consistent with those used in the regular actuarial valuation of the Retirement System on the valuation date, unless otherwise noted. In particular:

- The assumed rate of interest was 8.0%.
- Payroll was assumed to increase 3% per year.
- For the regular valuation, the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability is amortized over 30 years.
- The employer contribution rate shall not decline below 16.97% of payroll until the System is 80% funded.

We believe the assumptions are internally consistent and reasonable, based on the actual experience of MOSERS. These actuarial assumptions and methods comply with current actuarial standards of practice.

The active group size is assumed to remain constant. For purposes of this supplemental valuation, the active group for Legislators and Elected Officials is assumed to decline under the assumptions disclosed herein.

A brief summary of the data used in this valuation follows:

			Group Averages			
Valuation Group	Number	Payroll		Salary	Age(yrs.)	Service(yrs.)
General Assembly	191	\$ 6,871,658	\$	35,977	51.6	4.5
Elected Officials	6	\$ 659,978	\$	109,996	49.3	7.4
Total MOSERS	50,621	\$ 1,902,719,928	\$	37,588	45.7	11.3

For Members of the General Assembly (Legislators) or Elected Officials Hired on and after January 1, 2016:

Eliminate retirement benefits for members of the General Assembly (Legislators) and statewide Elected Officials who first take office on or after January 1, 2016. A retired state employee who retired under the Year 2000 plan and holds one of these elected offices for the first time on or after January 1, 2016 will also be prohibited from receiving retirement benefits from the Missouri State Employees' Retirement System during his or her term of office, and shall not be eligible to accrue additional benefits based on service as a member of the General Assembly or as statewide Elected Officials.

Members of the General Assembly and statewide Elected Officials who first take office on or after January 1, 2016, shall be eligible to participate in a defined contribution plan, in which the employer shall contribute of 5.0% of the participant's annual salary, and the employee 3%.

The proposed removal of benefits for new hires has **no effect** on the MOSERS current benefit obligation or current employer contributions for the active members currently covered under the Missouri State Employees' Retirement System MSEP 2000 plan.

Actuarial Statement

Impact on MOSERS DB Employer Contributions

	<u>Contributions</u>						
	Present	Proposed	Increase/				
	Benefits	Benefits	(Decrease)				
FY 2015-2016 Contribution							
Total Normal Cost	8.21 %	8.19 %	(0.02) %				
Member Contribution Rate	(1.32)	(1.32)	0.00				
UAAL%	10.08	10.10	0.02				
Total Employer Contribution Rate	16.97 %	16.97 %	0.00 %				
Employer Normal Cost (\$ millions)	\$ 139.1	\$ 138.7	\$ (0.4)				
Estimated Employer Contribution (\$ millions)	\$ 342.6	\$ 342.6	\$ 0.0				
Valuation Results as of June 30, 2014 (\$ millions)							
Market Value of Assets (MVA)	\$ 9,136.8	\$ 9,136.8	\$ -				
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	11,494.6	11,494.6	-				
Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA)	8,637.8	8,637.8	-				
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	\$ 2,856.8	\$ 2,856.8	\$ -				
Percent Funded	75.1 %	75.1 %	0.0 %				

Projected Change in Annual Employer Contributions (In Thousands)

															Project	ed DC	Total Projecte	a (DR +DC)
	Curre	ent Provisions (Be	ginning of	Year)	Propos	ed Provisions (Bo	eginning of	Year)		Projecte	d DB Emp	loyer Conti	ributions		Employer Co	ontributions	Employer Co	ntributions
Fiscal	Projected	Projected	Funded	Projected	Projected	Projected	Funded	Projected	Cı	ırrent	Est. I	mpact	Pro	posed	Propo	sed	Propo	sed
Year	AAL	AVA	Ratio	MVA	AAL	AVA	Ratio	MVA	Rate	Dollars	Rate#	Dollars	Rate	Dollars	Rate@	Dollars	Rate	Dollars
2015	\$11,494,572	\$ 8,637,759	75.1%	\$ 9,136,782	\$11,494,572	\$ 8,637,759	75.1%	\$9,136,782										
2016	11,876,237	8,990,806	75.7%	9,090,149	11,876,237	8,990,806	75.7%	9,090,149	16.97%	\$ 332,578	0.00%	\$ -	16.97%	\$ 332,578	0.00%	\$ -	16.97%	\$ 332,578
2017	12,233,084	9,327,067	76.2%	9,420,891	12,232,274	9,326,721	76.2%	9,420,015	16.97%	342,556	0.00%	-	16.97%	342,556	0.00%	34	16.97%	342,589
2018	12,560,849	9,644,211	76.8%	9,720,317	12,558,911	9,643,195	76.8%	9,718,234	16.97%	352,832	0.00%	-	16.97%	352,832	0.00%	100	16.97%	352,933
2019	12,862,399	9,945,896	77.3%	10,005,964	12,858,817	9,943,872	77.3%	10,002,313	16.97%	363,417	0.00%	-	16.97%	363,417	0.01%	167	16.98%	363,584
2020	13,140,057	10,235,495	77.9%	10,278,294	13,134,298	10,232,108	77.9%	10,272,702	16.97%	374,320	0.00%	-	16.97%	374,320	0.01%	232	16.98%	374,552
2021	13,397,164	10,517,466	78.5%	10,544,058	13,388,695	10,512,361	78.5%	10,536,217	16.97%	385,549	0.00%	-	16.97%	385,549	0.01%	287	16.98%	385,837
2022	13,636,940	10,796,235	79.2%	10,812,792	13,625,219	10,789,062	79.2%	10,802,292	16.97%	397,116	0.00%	-	16.97%	397,116	0.01%	336	16.98%	397,452
2023	13,859,832	11,073,607	79.9%	11,083,725	13,844,382	11,064,079	79.9%	11,070,354	16.97%	409,029	0.00%	-	16.97%	409,029	0.02%	375	16.99%	409,404
2024	14,064,648	11,349,903	80.7%	11,352,325	14,045,051	11,337,797	80.7%	11,335,965	16.97%	421,300	0.00%	-	16.97%	421,300	0.02%	405	16.99%	421,706
2025	14,250,907	11,626,241	81.6%	11,619,676	14,226,783	11,611,381	81.6%	11,600,190	12.62%	322,706	(0.09%)	(2,301)	12.53%	320,404	0.02%	430	12.55%	320,835
2026	14,418,820	11,792,854	81.8%	11,790,419	14,389,832	11,773,436	81.8%	11,767,344	12.47%	328,436	(0.10%)	(2,634)	12.37%	325,802	0.02%	452	12.39%	326,254

#The ultimate impact on the normal cost is a decrease of 0.11 % of projected payroll. Due to the minimum funding policy, the UAAL offsets the change in normal cost until the year following the plan reaching 80% funded.

@The ultimate DC contribution is 0.02% of total MOSERS projected payroll.

	BOY Valuation				Post 1/1/2016 Payroll				
Fiscal	Fiscal Payroll		P	Pre 1/1/2016			Elected	Officials &	
Year	1	Projected	Payroll*		Al	All Others		l Assembly	
2015	\$	1,902,720	\$	1,902,720	\$	-	\$	-	
2016		1,959,802		1,959,802		-		-	
2017		2,018,596		1,880,742		137,178		676	
2018		2,079,153		1,741,050		336,095		2,008	
2019		2,141,528		1,624,953		513,238		3,338	
2020		2,205,774		1,523,845		677,288		4,641	
2021		2,271,947		1,433,076		833,123		5,748	
2022		2,340,106		1,349,764		983,623		6,719	
2023		2,410,309		1,271,195		1,131,618		7,495	
2024		2,482,618		1,195,490		1,279,023		8,105	
2025		2,557,096		1,122,305		1,426,185		8,606	
2026		2,633,809		1,051,661		1,573,111		9,037	

^{*}DB, DC and Total Contribution Rates are based on open group payroll.

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

DC Contributions based on 5% employer contribution for Elected Officials and members of the General Assembly hired on or after 1/1/2016 only.

Comment A: The long-term effect of the proposed changes on Defined Benefit contributions is a decrease in the employer normal cost of approximately 0.11% of total MOSERS payroll. It is important to note that UAAL contributions for MOSERS are assumed to be made on total payroll, including those defined contribution members hired on or after January 1, 2016.

Comment B: The proposal does not refer to a vesting provision on employer defined contribution amounts. We have assumed 100% immediate vesting.

Comment C: We assumed that all current DB Elected Officials would stay in the DB plan.

Comment D: At the September 17, 2014 Board meeting, the Board adopted a minimum funding policy such that the employer contribution rate will be no less than 16.97% of payroll (the rate calculated in the June 30, 2013 valuation) until such a time as the plan is at least 80% funded on an actuarial value of assets basis. Additionally, any benefit increase will result in a commensurate increase in the minimum contribution rate of 16.97%. This supplemental valuation reflects the 16.97% minimum contribution rate until the plan is 80% funded. Since the proposed change is not an increase in System benefits, the rate of 16.97% is unchanged by the proposal.

Comment E: The calculations are based upon assumptions regarding future events, which may or may not materialize. They are also based upon present and proposed assumptions that are outlined in the report. If you have reason to believe that the assumptions that were used are unreasonable, that the plan provisions are incorrectly described, that important plan provisions relevant to this proposal are not described, or that conditions have changed since the calculations were made, you should contact the authors of this report prior to relying on information in the report.

Comment F: If you have reason to believe that the information provided in this report is inaccurate, or is in any way incomplete, or if you need further information in order to make an informed decision on the subject matter of this report, please contact the authors of the report prior to making such decision.

Comment G: In the event that more than one plan change is being considered, it is very important to remember that the results of separate actuarial valuations cannot generally be added together to produce a correct estimate of the combined effect of all of the changes. The total can be considerably greater than the sum of the parts due to the interaction of various plan provisions with each other, and with the assumptions that must be used.

Comment H: This report is intended to describe the financial effect of the proposed plan changes on the Retirement System. Except as otherwise noted, potential effects on other benefit plans were not considered. In particular, this report does not include the cost of life insurance and disability benefit income for the affected members.

Comment I: The reader of this report should keep in mind that actuarial calculations are mathematical estimates based on current data and assumptions about future events (which may or may not materialize). Please note that actuarial calculations can and do vary from one valuation year to the next. As a result, the cost impact of a benefit change may fluctuate over time, as the demographics of the group changes.

Summary of Assumptions Used

for the June 30, 2014 Actuarial Valuation

------Economic Assumptions -----

The economic assumptions were adopted by the Board on July 19, 2012 to be first effective for the June 30, 2012 valuation.

The investment return rate used in the valuations was 8.0% per year, compounded annually (net after investment expenses). This assumption is used to account for the fact that equal amounts of money payable at different points in time in the future do not have the same value presently.

Pay increase assumptions for individual active members are shown for sample ages on page 8. Part of the assumption for each age is for merit and/or seniority increase, and the other 3.0% recognizes wage inflation. This assumption is used to project a member's current salary to the salaries upon which benefits will be based.

The active member payroll is assumed to increase 3.0% annually, which is the portion of the individual pay increase assumptions attributable to wage inflation.

The annual Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA) is assumed to be 4.00%, on a compounded basis, when a minimum COLA of 4% is in effect (4.0% for 12 years, 3.06% the next year to reach a cumulative 65% followed by 2.0%). When no minimum COLA is in effect, price inflation is assumed to be 2.5% and the annual COLA is assumed to be 2.0% (80% of 2.5%), on a compounded basis.

----- Non-Economic Assumptions -----

The demographic assumptions were adopted by the Board on June 20, 2012 to be first effective for the June 30, 2012 valuation.

The mortality table, for post-retirement mortality, used in evaluating allowances to be paid was the RP 2000 combined healthy mortality table, projected to 2016 with Scale AA. Related values are shown on page 9. This assumption is used to measure the probabilities of each benefit payment being made after retirement. The pre-retirement mortality rates used were 100% of the post-retirement mortality rates for males and 80% of the post-retirement mortality for females.

The mortality tables include a margin of 15% for men and 17% for women for mortality improvements based on the four-year experience study from June 30, 2007 to June 30, 2011.

Summary of Assumptions Used for the June 30, 2014 Actuarial Valuation

The probabilities of age and service retirement are shown on page 10. It was assumed that each member will be granted one half year (4 months for 2011 plan members) of service credit for unused leave upon retirement and military service purchases.

The probabilities of withdrawal from service, disability and death-in-service are shown for sample ages on page 8. For disability retirement, impaired longevity was recognized by use of special mortality tables.

The entry age normal actuarial cost method of valuation was used in determining liabilities and normal cost. Each member's normal cost was based on the benefit provisions applicable to that member. The normal cost is projected to the applicable fiscal year. Differences in the past between assumed experience and actuarial experience ("actuarial gains and losses") become part of actuarial accrued liabilities. Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities are amortized to produce payments, (principal & interest) which are level percents of payroll contributions.

The amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is based on a closed 30-year amortization period, level percent-of-payroll amortization. This method was first effective with the June 30, 2014 valuation. The amortization is based on the projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability to the beginning of the fiscal year during which the contributions are expected to be made.

Employer contribution dollars were assumed to be *paid in equal installments* throughout the employer's fiscal year.

Actuarial value of assets. Valuation assets recognize assumed investment return fully each year. Differences between actual and assumed investment return are phased-in over an open three-year period. Valuation assets are not permitted to deviate from the market value by less than 80% or more than 125%.

The data about persons now covered and about present assets were furnished by the System's administrative staff. Although examined for general reasonableness, the data was not audited by the Actuary.

The liabilities for active members hired on or after January 1, 2011 were based on MSEP 2011 benefits. The liabilities for active members hired on or after July 1, 2000 (April 26, 2005 for administrative law judges) were based on MSEP 2000 benefits. The liabilities for active members hired before July 1, 2000 for Elected Officials, General Assembly, and Uniformed Water Patrol were based on MSEP benefits. The liabilities for all other active members hired before July 1, 2000 were based on the assumption that members would elect MSEP 2000 prior to age 62 and MSEP on or after age 62.

For members on long-term disability, the actuarial accrued liability is the present value of benefits under active assumptions plus the difference of the present value of benefits with and without future pay growth to reflect indexing of pay in ultimate retirement benefits.

The actuarial valuation computations were made by or under the supervision of Brad Lee Armstrong and David T. Kausch who are Members of the American Academy of Actuaries (MAAA).

Separations from Active Employment before Service Retirement and Individual Pay Increase Assumptions as of June 30, 2014

			Percent of Active Members						Pay Increase Assumptions			
			Se parat	ing within	the Next	Year		For An Individual Employee				
Sample	mple Years of Withdrawal ***		awal ***	De	eath*	Disability		Merit &	Base	Increase		
Ages	Service	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Seniority**	(Economy)	Next Year		
	0	23.0 %	26.9 %									
	1	18.0	20.5									
	2	15.0	15.4									
	3	13.0	12.5									
	4	11.0	10.9									
25	5+	13.0	13.3	0.03 %	0.01 %	0.17 %	0.30 %	2.9 %	3.0 %	5.9 %		
30		10.2	10.5	0.04	0.02	0.17	0.30	2.2	3.0	5.2		
35		7.9	8.1	0.07	0.03	0.21	0.30	1.6	3.0	4.6		
40		5.6	5.7	0.09	0.04	0.26	0.32	1.2	3.0	4.2		
45		4.2	4.3	0.12	0.07	0.34	0.38	0.9	3.0	3.9		
50		2.8	2.9	0.16	0.10	0.49	0.57	0.7	3.0	3.7		
55		2.8	2.9	0.27	0.19	1.07	0.89	0.5	3.0	3.5		
60		2.8	2.9	0.52	0.37	1.50	1.50	0.4	3.0	3.4		
65		2.8	2.9	1.02	0.72	1.60	1.70	0.3	3.0	3.3		
70		2.8	2.9	1.74	1.24	1.60	1.70	0.2	3.0	3.2		

^{* 2%} of the deaths in active service are assumed to be duty related.

Elected Officials and Legislators

Percent of Active Members Separating within the Next Year

Years of	Withdrawal	
Service	Male/Female	
1	8.0 %	
2	8.0	
3	8.0	
4	8.0	
5	12.0	
6	12.0	
7	12.0	
8+	35.0	

^{**} Does not apply to members of the General Assembly.

^{***} Does not apply to Elected Officials and Legislators.

Post-Retirement Mortality Rates

The mortality tables were the RP 2000 mortality table, projected to 2016 with Scale AA, including a margin of 15% for men and 17% for women for mortality improvements. Disabled mortality tables are the healthy mortality tables set forward 10 years. The pre-retirement mortality rates used were 100% of the post-retirement mortality rates for males and 80% of the post-retirement mortality for females.

	Ser	vice	Disal	bility	
Age	Men	Men Women		Women	
45	0.0012	0.0009	0.0027	0.0024	
50	0.0016	0.0013	0.0052	0.0047	
55	0.0027	0.0024	0.0102	0.0090	
60	0.0052	0.0047	0.0174	0.0155	
65	0.0102	0.0090	0.0302	0.0247	
70	0.0174	0.0155	0.0548	0.0410	
75	0.0302	0.0247	0.0990	0.0703	
80	0.0548	0.0410	0.1720	0.1255	
85	0.0990	0.0703	0.2591	0.1884	

Retirement Values June 30, 2014

Sample				First Year easing 4.0%	l	Present Value of \$1/Month the First Year Increasing 2.0% Yearly				
Attained	Sei	rvice	Disa	ability	Serv	vice	Disal	Disability		
Ages	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women		
40	\$224.11	\$224.12	\$212.76	\$211.89	\$184.40	\$186.75	\$169.01	\$172.32		
45	217.22	217.01	202.65	201.39	177.68	180.43	157.94	162.08		
50	208.28	207.81	190.14	188.39	169.01	172.32	144.49	149.76		
55	196.76	196.07	175.18	172.83	157.94	162.08	128.94	135.56		
60	182.48	181.61	157.88	154.80	144.49	149.76	111.76	119.87		
65	165.46	164.49	138.11	134.44	128.94	135.56	92.72	102.82		
70	145.94	144.91	116.94	112.03	111.76	119.87	73.10	84.62		
75	123.90	123.17	96.04	88.83	92.72	102.82	55.15	66.19		
80	100.55	100.10	76.52	68.15	73.10	84.62	40.28	50.49		
85	78.09	77.41	59.89	52.82	55.15	66.19	30.32	40.10		

Sample	Future Life Expectancy (Years)							
Attaine d	Serv	ice	Disa	bility				
Ages	Men	Women	Men	Women				
40	41.95	44.10	32.39	34.43				
45	37.15	39.24	27.68	29.69				
50	32.39	34.43	23.13	25.13				
55	27.68	29.69	18.87	20.84				
60	23.13	25.13	14.96	16.90				
65	18.87	20.84	11.39	13.32				
70	14.96	16.90	8.29	10.12				
75	11.39	13.32	5.83	7.37				
80	8.29	10.12	4.03	5.31				
85	5.83	7.37	2.91	4.05				

Percent of Eligible Active Members Retiring Next Year

	Norma	l Retiremen	t Pattern		Early Retirement Pattern			
		P and MSEP		MSEP 2011**		MSEP and MSEP 2000*	MSEP 2011**	
Retirement		ercent Eligil		Percent	Retirement	Percent	Percent	
Age	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	Eligible	Age	Eligible	Eligible	
48	22%							
49	22	10%						
50	22	10	21%					
51	22	10	21					
52	22	10	21					
53	22	10	18					
54	22	10	18					
55	22	12	26	45%				
56	22	12	25	45				
57	22	12	22	35	57	2.5%		
58	22	12	22	35	58	3.5		
59	22	12	20	30	59	3.5		
60	21	12	22	35	60	5.0		
61	20	12	20	25	61	6.0		
62	19	22	30	40	62	6.0	10%	
63	15	18	25	30	63	6.0	10	
64	15	20	17	20	64	6.0	10	
65	20	20	27	30	65	6.0	50	
66	22	20	26	25	66	6.0	50	
67	15	25	22	20	67	6.0		
68	15	20	22	20	68	6.0		
69	15	20	22	20	69	6.0		
70	25	20	22	20	70	6.0		
71	25	20	22	20	71	6.0		
72	25	20	22	20	72	6.0		
73	25	20	22	20	73	6.0		
74	25	20	22	20	74	6.0		
75	50	50	22	50	75	6.0		
76	50	50	22	50	76	6.0		
77	75	75	22	75	77	6.0		
78	100	100	100	100	78	100.0		

^{*} For members hired prior to January 1, 2011.

^{**} For members hired on or after January 1, 2011.